

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,371		09/10/2003	Robert L. Doubler	6683.98US01	6685
43541	7590	06/16/2006	•	EXAMINER	
•		& EVANS	COMSTOCK, DAVID C		
ATTN: PATENT DOCKETING 2700 CAREW TOWER				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
441 VINE STREET				3733	
CINCINNATI, OH 45202				DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/661,371	DOUBLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David Comstock	3733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. tely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward	action is non-final.	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	∆ □	(DTO 440)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/03,3&10/05,2/06. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the manner in which the "flat surfaces" set forth in claim 11 are capable of functioning as tensioning means has not been set forth and is unclear.

Regarding the Abstract, the introductory phrase "The present invention provides" should be avoided as it can be inferred.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "conical surface" (of the inwardly depending lip), as set forth in claim 9, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. It is also noted that Fig. 13 contains impermissible extraneous matter. The label "snap ring groove" should be deleted or replaced with a reference numeral, which should be defined in the specification. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the

Art Unit: 3733

replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first and second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement and as failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The "flat surfaces" as tensioning means were not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Furthermore, it is unclear how the "flat surfaces" are capable of functioning as tensioning means.

Art Unit: 3733

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11, 16, 17, 19 and 24, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Freedland et al. (6,162,234., cited by Applicant).

Freedland et al. disclose a device comprising a tapered collet 12 and compression ring 14 and a shank member (see, e.g., Figs. 23-34). The shank and inner engaging surface interface may be threaded or knurled (e.g. 1111, Fig. 25 or 111A, Fig. 34). Both the shank and the inner surface include smooth portions that are capable of being engaged. A first revolution of the thread can be considered a lip corresponding to a groove (the mating thread form). It is noted that an embodiment also includes an inner groove 121A--Fig. 33). The shank includes a tensioning end and the shank is frangible (see Fig. 34). The device may be formed of steel or plastic (see, e.g., column 25, lines 61-67).

Art Unit: 3733

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-15, 18, 20-23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freedland et al. (6,162,234., cited by Applicant).

Freedland et al. disclose the claimed invention except for explicitly disclosing that the tensioning means can comprise a groove or a threaded bore and that the device could be formed of copper, brass, bronze, aluminum or rubber. However, It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted a groove or a threaded bore for the tensioning means of Freedland et al. as this would merely have been the substitution of functionally equivalent fastening or gripping means known in the art. Likewise, it would have been obvious to have substituted wrench flats on the outer surface of the compression ring. It also would have been obvious to have formed the compression ring of copper, brass, bronze, aluminum or rubber, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Art Unit: 3733

Conclusion

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Comstock whose telephone number is (571) 272-4710. Please leave a detailed voice message if examiner is unavailable. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Comstock

EDUARDO CAROBERT

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER